CONSULTATION PAPER

FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES ONLY

Development of a Microfinance Framework

FINANCIAL SERVICES COMMISSION

Event: 15th Anniversary Industry Stakeholder Consultation on Legislative Reform Project

Focus: Day 3: Credit Unions & Microfinance Reform – Strengthening Community Finance

Date: April 15, 2026

Venue: Hilton, Barbados

CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This Consultation Paper sets out the Financial Services Commission's proposed approach to establishing a comprehensive legislative and regulatory framework for the microfinance sector in Barbados. The proposed reform is intended to formally expand the regulatory perimeter to include microfinance and other currently unregulated non-bank financial activities, ensuring that oversight is fit for purpose while preserving access to credit and supporting financial inclusion.

At present, the microfinance landscape in Barbados is fragmented and unregulated, comprising a diverse range of providers including payday lenders, finance companies, and informal credit operators. The absence of a clear regulatory framework creates significant gaps in consumer protection, financial integrity, and supervisory visibility. This reform seeks to address these deficiencies by introducing a proportionate, risk-based regime that balances access to finance with appropriate safeguards.

A central feature of the proposed framework is the definition and formalization of the regulatory perimeter, clearly identifying the activities and entities subject to oversight, including lending, credit intermediation, and related financial services. This will be supported by the introduction of a tiered regulatory structure, ensuring that requirements are aligned with the size, nature, and risk profile of providers, thereby avoiding undue burdens on smaller or community-based entities while strengthening oversight of larger and higher-risk operators.

The framework places strong emphasis on consumer protection and market conduct, including the establishment of clear rules governing disclosure, pricing, lending practices, and debt collection. These measures are intended to promote transparency, fairness, and responsible lending, while mitigating risks such as over-indebtedness and exploitative practices. In parallel, the framework will enhance financial integrity through the extension of AML/CFT/CPF obligations in line with international standards, particularly those of the Financial Action Task Force (FATF), and improve data collection and supervisory oversight.

The reform also recognizes the importance of financial inclusion, particularly for underserved individuals and microenterprises. It seeks to support the development of sustainable microfinance models, including digital and fintech-enabled delivery channels, while facilitating a phased transition from informality to formalization through simplified registration processes, clear guidance, and proportionate compliance requirements.

In addition, the framework integrates Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) considerations as a cross-cutting principle, with a focus on responsible lending, fair treatment of borrowers, and transparency. Given the sector's direct social impact, ESG is positioned as a practical tool to strengthen market conduct and support inclusive, sustainable finance outcomes.

BACKGROUND & CONTEXT

Purpose of Reform

Separate legislation is now required for microfinance actors to ensure that the supervision and regulation of this emerging sector is fit for purpose. In this regard, the intention is to formally expand the regulatory perimeter of the Financial Services Commission (the "Commission") to define and include microfinance activities.

At a high level, the development of a dedicated Microfinance Legislative Framework is aimed at establishing a clear, proportionate, and fit-for-purpose regulatory regime governing microfinance and other non-bank financial service providers operating in Barbados. This framework is intended to bring currently unregulated activities within an appropriate supervisory perimeter, while preserving access to credit and supporting financial inclusion. Specifically, the proposed framework seeks to:

- **Define and formalise the regulatory perimeter** by clearly identifying microfinance and non-bank financial activities subject to regulation, including lending, credit intermediation, and other relevant financial services. This will address existing gaps in oversight and reduce the potential for regulatory arbitrage;

- **Introduce a tiered and proportionate regulatory structure**, ensuring that requirements are aligned with the size, nature, and risk profile of entities. This approach is intended to avoid imposing undue compliance burdens on small or community-based providers, while ensuring that larger or higher-risk entities are subject to more robust supervision;

- **Strengthen consumer protection and market conduct standards** by establishing clear rules governing disclosure, pricing, lending practices, and debt collection. These measures are intended to promote transparency, fairness, and responsible lending, while mitigating risks of over-indebtedness and consumer harm;

- **Enhance financial integrity and regulatory oversight** through the extension of appropriate AML/CFT/CPF obligations in line with standards set by FATF as well as improved data collection and supervisory visibility into the sector;

- **Support financial inclusion and responsible access to credit**, particularly for underserved individuals, microenterprises, and vulnerable groups, by enabling the development of sustainable microfinance models and facilitating innovation, including digital and alternative delivery channels;

- **Facilitate the transition from informality to formalisation**, through phased implementation, simplified registration or licensing processes, and clear regulatory guidance, thereby encouraging compliance while minimizing disruption to existing credit provision;

- **Embed ESG and responsible finance principles** within the framework, with particular emphasis on:
    - Environmental considerations, including support for sustainable microenterprise activities;
    - Social outcomes, including fair treatment of borrowers and inclusive access to financial services; and
    - Governance standards, including transparency, accountability, and ethical business practices;

- **Ensure flexibility and adaptability of the regulatory framework**, through the use of subsidiary legislation and regulatory guidance, allowing the Commission to respond effectively to evolving market developments, including digital lending and fintech-enabled business models.

Key Contextual Factors Impacting the Sector

Key contextual factors impacting the microfinance sector include:

- **Fragmented and Partially Unregulated Landscape:** The microfinance and non-bank financial services sector in Barbados includes a wide range of entities, such as payday and short-term lenders, finance companies and instalment lenders, and informal or unregulated credit providers. The absence of a comprehensive regulatory framework creates consumer protection risks, as well as data and visibility gaps for policymakers. A key priority is defining the regulatory perimeter and bringing relevant activities under appropriate oversight.

- **Financial Inclusion vs. Consumer Risk:** Microfinance providers often serve individuals and microenterprises that may not qualify for traditional credit. This supports entrepreneurship and small business development; and access to emergency or short-term financing. However, risks include high interest rates and fees, debt cycles and over-indebtedness; and aggressive collection practices. The framework must balance preserving access to credit and preventing exploitative practices.

- **Need for a Proportionate and Tiered Regulatory Framework:** Given the diversity of entities, a one-size-fits-all approach is unlikely to be effective. Barbados may consider:
    - Tiered licensing regimes and ongoing requirements based on size and risk
    - Simplified requirements for smaller providers
    - Graduated supervisory intensity

  This approach can support formalization without stifling smaller operators.

- **Interest Rate Transparency and Pricing Practices:** A key issue in microfinance is the true cost of credit, including high effective interest rates, hidden fees and charges and non-transparent repayment structures. Regulatory considerations include:
    - Standardized disclosure of annual percentage rates (APR)
    - Limits or guidance on fees and charges
    - Clear rules on advertising and marketing

- **Market Conduct, Collections, and Consumer Safeguards:** Unregulated lenders may engage in practices that harm consumers, including coercive debt collection; lack of recourse for borrower complaints; and inadequate affordability assessments. A strengthened framework should address licensing and conduct requirements; rules governing collections and enforcement; whistleblower protections; and consumer recourse and dispute resolution mechanisms.

- **Data, Credit Reporting, and Over-Indebtedness:** Limited integration with credit reporting systems can lead to multiple borrowing across providers, inadequate assessment of borrower capacity; and systemic over-indebtedness risks. Barbados may consider:

  - Expanding credit reporting coverage to include microfinance providers
  - Encouraging data sharing across the sector
  - Leveraging data for macroprudential monitoring

- **Digital Lending and Fintech Developments:** The rise of digital platforms and mobile-based lending introduces faster access to credit and use of alternative data for credit scoring. However, it also raises risks around algorithmic bias and lack of transparency; data privacy and consent; and rapid accumulation of debt. Regulation must evolve to address digital lending models, including:
  - Licensing of online lenders;
  - Data protection and usage standards; and
  - Oversight of automated decision-making.

- **AML/CFT/CPF and Illicit Finance Risks:** Unregulated financial activity can create vulnerabilities for money laundering and other illicit uses. Standards from the FATF highlight the importance of customer due diligence; record keeping and reporting obligations; and monitoring of high-risk activities. Extending AML/CFT/CPF obligations to relevant non-bank entities is critical for safeguarding the financial system.

- **Institutional Capacity and Enforcement:** Expanding the regulatory perimeter will require additional supervisory resources; enforcement tools and legal authority; public awareness and compliance outreach. Barbados must ensure that the Commission can effectively supervise a larger and more diverse set of entities; enforcement is credible and proportionate; and transition arrangements are in place for currently unregulated providers.

- **Transition from Informality to Formalization:** Bringing unregulated entities into the formal system presents challenges, including resistance due to compliance costs; limited administrative capacity among small operators; risk of reducing credit availability if regulation is too stringent. A phased and consultative approach may include:
  - Registration before full licensing
  - Transitional provisions
  - Clear communication of regulatory expectations

- **Linkages with the Broader Financial System:** Both credit unions and microfinance providers are interconnected with commercial banks (e.g., funding, deposits); payment systems; and household balance sheets. Understanding these linkages is important for monitoring systemic risk; designing macroprudential tools; and ensuring coordinated regulation across sectors.

ESG & Sustainable Finance

ESG Vision Statement

Barbados is committed to strengthening the resilience, integrity, and inclusiveness of its financial system through the integration of Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) considerations into its legislative and regulatory framework.

Global developments, including guidance from the Financial Stability Board and the United Nations Environment Programme Finance Initiative, have highlighted the growing importance of incorporating climate-related and broader sustainability risks into financial decision-making, governance practices, and supervisory approaches. Barbados recognizes the need to align with these evolving expectations while ensuring that reforms are appropriately calibrated to the domestic context.

This consultation therefore proposes the adoption of ESG as a cross-cutting principle across the non-bank financial services sector, with the following objectives:

- Strengthening the identification and management of climate-related and other long-term risks to support financial stability;
- Promoting financial inclusion and fair treatment of consumers;
- Enhancing governance, transparency, and accountability across regulated entities; and
- Supporting, where appropriate, the mobilization of capital toward sustainable and climate-resilient economic activity.

The proposed approach is grounded in proportionality and practicality, recognizing the diversity of institutions within the Barbadian financial system. ESG integration will be implemented on a phased basis, supported by clear guidance and ongoing stakeholder engagement, to ensure that requirements are aligned with the size, complexity, and capacity of regulated entities.

Through this reform process, Barbados seeks to ensure that its financial sector remains resilient and well-regulated, while also positioning itself to respond effectively to emerging risks and opportunities in a rapidly evolving global environment.

ESG as a Cross-Cutting Theme

ESG considerations are increasingly central to financial sector development, shaping risk management, capital allocation, and regulatory expectations globally. For Barbados, ESG is not only a matter of international alignment but also a strategic national priority, given its vulnerability to climate change and its commitment to sustainable and inclusive growth. Global standards and guidance from bodies such as the Financial Stability Board (FSB), the International Association of Insurance Supervisors (IAIS), International Organisation of Securities Commissions (IOSCO), International Credit Union Regulators Network (ICURN) and the United Nations Environment Programme Finance Initiative are increasingly emphasizing:

- Integration of climate and broader ESG risks into financial decision-making;
- Enhanced disclosures, including climate-related and sustainability reporting; and
- Consideration of long-term systemic risks, particularly those related to climate change.

For Barbados, embedding ESG into legislative and regulatory reform supports multiple policy objectives:

- **Financial Stability:** Improving the identification and management of long-term and systemic risks, particularly climate-related risks
- **International Competitiveness:** Aligning with global expectations to maintain credibility and market access
- **Inclusive Growth:** Expanding access to financial services and supporting underserved communities
- **Climate Resilience:** Mobilizing capital toward adaptation and mitigation efforts

A key policy consideration is adopting a proportionate and phased approach, ensuring ESG requirements are:

- Commensurate with the size and complexity of regulated entities
- Operationally feasible for smaller institutions
- Supported by clear guidance and capacity-building initiatives

Sector-Specific ESG Calibration: ESG as Responsible Finance

As it relates to the microfinance sector, the integration of ESG considerations is particularly important given its direct social and developmental impact. ESG is most relevant in this context through the promotion of responsible lending and strong consumer protection practices:

- **Environmental:** from an environmental perspective, microfinance institutions can support sustainable microenterprise activities.
- **Social:** the focus is on preventing over-indebtedness, ensuring fair and inclusive access to credit, and promoting responsible lending practices that prioritize borrower welfare.
- **Governance:** emphasis is placed on transparency, ethical conduct, and accountability operations.

The formalization of the microfinance sector presents a strategic opportunity for Barbados to embed these principles into the regulatory framework. This includes establishing responsible lending standards, encouraging the development of ESG-aligned microfinance products, and promoting fair pricing and transparent disclosure practices. The proposed approach also supports the incorporation of basic, proportionate ESG and impact considerations, aligned with the size and complexity of microfinance providers.

In this context, the proposed regulatory focus would be ensuring that ESG operationalized through market conduct rules and financial inclusion policies.

Unifying Policy Approach

Across all sectors regulated and supervised by the Commission, ESG integration would be anchored on three consistent principles:

1. **Proportionality:** requirements should reflect the size, complexity, and risk profile of entities.
2. **Phased Implementation:** the intention is to start with high-level principles and disclosures, with scope for gradual enhancement.
3. **Alignment with National Priorities:** ESG frameworks should support Barbados' broader goals, particularly:
    (a) climate resilience
    (b) financial inclusion
    (c) sustainable economic development

Summary of Gap Analysis & Benchmarking

The Commission has undertaken a preliminary assessment of the broader microfinance and unregulated non-bank financial services sector. This review included benchmarking against international standards and selected peer jurisdictions, as well as guidance from bodies such as the Financial Action Task Force (FATF).

Gap Analysis – Key Structural and Regulatory Deficiencies

- **Absence of a Comprehensive Regulatory Framework**: The microfinance and non-bank financial services sector remains unregulated, resulting in significant gaps in regulatory oversight; lack of licensing or registration requirements for many providers; and limited visibility into the scale and nature of activities.

- **Consumer Protection and Market Conduct Risks:** The absence of a formal framework creates exposure to non-transparent pricing and high effective interest rates; inadequate disclosure and unfair lending practices; and aggressive debt collection practices.

- **Lack of Defined Regulatory Perimeter:** There is no clear legal definition of "microfinance activities". Similarly, there is a need to clarify the thresholds for regulatory inclusion, which creates uncertainty.

- **Limited Integration into the Financial System:** Unregulated entities are often outside formal credit reporting systems; not subject to AML/CFT/CPF obligations aligned with FATF; and weakly connected to broader financial sector oversight. This creates both consumer protection and financial integrity risks.

Benchmarking – Position Relative to Peer Jurisdictions

Benchmarking against comparable jurisdictions indicates that more advanced frameworks have evolved toward modern, risk-based, and differentiated regulatory models. In contrast to Barbados' current position, peer jurisdictions have introduced:

- Licensing or registration regimes for microfinance providers

- Tiered regulatory approaches, reflecting scale and risk
- Market conduct and consumer protection frameworks, including disclosure and pricing rules
- Integration into AML/CFT/CPF regimes and credit reporting systems

These approaches support both financial inclusion and consumer protection, while reducing systemic risks.

Advanced jurisdictions also demonstrate:

- Greater reliance on subsidiary legislation and regulatory guidance
- Frameworks that are principles-based and adaptable
- Clear delineation between prudential regulation and market conduct supervision

Core Directions for Legislative and Regulatory Reform

In light of the identified gaps and benchmarking insights, the following core directions are proposed:

1. **Define and Establish the Regulatory Perimeter:**
    - Introduce clear definitions of microfinance
    - Establish licensing and/or registration requirements

2. **Implement a Tiered and Proportionate Framework:**
    - Differentiate between small providers and larger commercial entities
    - Apply proportionate regulatory requirements based on scale and risk

3. **Introduce Market Conduct and Consumer Protection Standards:**
    - Require transparent disclosure of pricing and terms
    - Establish rules governing lending practices and collections
    - Provide mechanisms for complaints and dispute resolution

4. **Integrate into AML/CFT/CPF and Financial Integrity Frameworks:**
    - Extend AML/CFT/CPF obligations in line with FATF standards

- Enhance monitoring of financial flows and risks

5. **Support Financial Inclusion and Responsible Finance**

   - Enable access to credit for underserved populations
   - Promote responsible lending and avoidance of over-indebtedness
   - Encourage ESG-aligned microfinance activities

6. **Facilitate Transition from Informality to Formalization**

   - Introduce phased implementation and transitional arrangements
   - Provide guidance and support for compliance
   - Avoid undue disruption to credit access

Collectively, the findings confirm that the development of a comprehensive framework for microfinance is required to strengthen financial stability and consumer protection; and bring currently unregulated microfinance activities within an appropriate regulatory perimeter.

The proposed reforms aim to establish a modern, proportionate, and resilient regulatory environment, capable of supporting both prudential soundness and financial inclusion, while aligning Barbados with international best practices.

CONSULTATION QUESTIONS: Microfinance Framework

Pillar I: Defining the Regulatory Perimeter & Scope of Microfinance

The proposed reform seeks to clearly define and formalise the regulatory perimeter by identifying which activities and entities constitute "microfinance" and should fall under supervision. This includes lending, credit intermediation, and other non-bank financial services currently operating outside formal oversight.

CONSULTATION QUESTIONS
Regulatory Perimeter & Scope

1. How should "microfinance" be defined in the Barbadian context?
2. Should regulation be primarily:
    - Activity-based (focused on lending/credit activities), or
    - Entity-based (focused on specific types of institutions)?
3. Which of the following entities should be brought within the regulatory perimeter?
    - Payday lenders
    - Retail finance companies
    - Peer-to-peer (P2P) lending platforms
    - Informal lenders
    - Micro-pension or micro-insurance providers
4. Are there any activities or entities that should not be regulated at this stage?
5. What risks arise if parts of the sector remain outside the regulatory perimeter?
6. What transitional challenges do you foresee in bringing currently informal providers into regulation?

Pillar II: Legislative Architecture & Institutional Framework

The reform considers whether microfinance should be governed through a standalone Act, embedded within existing sectoral laws, or through a hybrid model.

CONSULTATION QUESTIONS
Legislative Structure

7. Which legislative approach is most appropriate for Barbados:
    - Standalone Microfinance Act
    - Embedded approach within existing laws

- Hybrid framework

8. What are the key advantages and risks of your preferred approach?
9. How can the framework ensure:
   - Proportional regulation?
   - Flexibility for innovation?
   - Scalability as the sector grows?
10. How should responsibilities be structured between:
    - The Financial Services Commission
    - Other regulators (if applicable)?
11. What level of detail should be included in:
    - Primary legislation vs. regulations vs. guidelines?

Pillar III: Tiered Licensing & Proportionate Regulatory Framework

The proposed framework introduces tiered licensing and proportionate requirements based on size, complexity, and risk profile.

CONSULTATION QUESTIONS
Licensing & Proportionality

12. Do you support the introduction of a tiered licensing framework for microfinance providers?
13. What criteria should determine licensing tiers (e.g., loan size, portfolio size, customer base)?
14. What level of regulatory intensity is appropriate for:
    - Small/community-based lenders?
    - Medium-sized operators?
    - Large/commercial microfinance institutions?
15. Should certain low-risk providers be subject to registration only, rather than full licensing?
16. What are the likely compliance challenges associated with licensing requirements?
17. What would be a reasonable approach to phased implementation?

Pillar IV: Market Conduct, Consumer Protection & Responsible Lending

A central focus of the reform is strengthening consumer protection and responsible lending practices, given the vulnerability of microfinance borrowers.

CONSULTATION QUESTIONS

Market Conduct & Consumer Protection

18. What are the most significant consumer protection risks currently present in the sector?
19. What standards should be established for:
    - Disclosure of interest rates (APR)?
    - Fees and charges?
    - Loan terms and conditions?
20. Should limits or guidance be introduced on:
    - Interest rates?
    - Fees and penalties?
21. What requirements should apply to:
    - Affordability assessments?
    - Prevention of over-indebtedness?
22. What rules should govern debt collection practices?
23. What mechanisms are needed for:
    - Complaints handling?
    - Dispute resolution?
24. How can regulation balance consumer protection with continued access to credit?

Pillar V: Financial Integrity, AML/CFT/CPF & Data Transparency

The reform proposes extending AML/CFT/CPF obligations and improving data visibility across the sector.

CONSULTATION QUESTIONS

Financial Integrity & Data

25. What AML/CFT/CPF requirements are appropriate for microfinance providers, given their size and risk?
26. What challenges might smaller entities face in complying with these requirements?
27. Should microfinance providers be required to:
    - Report to credit bureaus?
    - Share borrower data across the sector?
28. How can improved data collection support:
    - Monitoring of systemic risk?
    - Prevention of over-indebtedness?
29. What are the data privacy and consumer protection considerations associated with expanded reporting?

Pillar VI: Digital Finance, Innovation & Emerging Models

The framework aims to accommodate digital lending, fintech models, and innovation, while managing associated risks.

CONSULTATION QUESTIONS

Innovation & Digital Finance

30. How should digital and online lending platforms be regulated?
31. What risks arise from:
    - Algorithmic credit decision-making?
    - Use of alternative data?
32. What standards should apply to:
    - Data protection and consent?
    - Transparency of digital lending terms?
33. Should Barbados consider a regulatory sandbox for microfinance innovation?
34. How can regulation support innovation while ensuring consumer protection?

Pillar VII: ESG Integration & Responsible Finance

The reform integrates Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) considerations, particularly through responsible lending and financial inclusion.

CONSULTATION QUESTIONS

ESG & Responsible Finance

35. How can ESG principles be applied in a practical and proportionate way within the microfinance sector?
36. What role should microfinance play in:
    - Supporting sustainable microenterprise activities?
    - Advancing financial inclusion?
37. Should ESG requirements be:
    - Principles-based, or
    - More prescriptive over time?
38. How can responsible lending practices be effectively embedded into regulation?
39. What incentives could encourage ESG-aligned microfinance products?

Pillar VIII: Transition, Formalisation & Implementation

A key objective is facilitating the transition from informality to formalisation without disrupting access to credit.

CONSULTATION QUESTIONS

Implementation & Transition

40. What are the main barriers to formalisation for current providers?
41. What transitional arrangements would support compliance (e.g., phased licensing, grace periods)?
42. How can the Commission:
    - Encourage voluntary compliance?
    - Minimise disruption to borrowers?
43. What level of guidance and capacity-building will be required?
44. What enforcement approach would be considered:
    - Effective?
    - Proportionate?

Microfinance Refinement Questions

Regulatory Perimeter Mapping

- Who should be regulated—and why?
- Where should thresholds be set?
- What risks exist at the boundary of regulation?

Defining Microfinance

- What activities must be captured?
- Should micro-savings, micro-insurance, and micro-pensions be included?

Legislative Architecture

- What is your preferred model—and why?
- How do we avoid fragmentation while ensuring flexibility?

Licensing Framework

- What activities require licensing vs. registration?
- What is an appropriate level of regulatory intensity?

CROSS-CUTTING CONSULTATION QUESTION

45. What is the single most important reform needed to ensure that the microfinance sector in Barbados is both inclusive and well-regulated?